Global Journal of Education, Humanities and Management Sciences (GOJEHMS); Vol.6 No.1, July 2026, pg.24 – 34; ISSN(Print): 2705-2494; ISSN(Online):2705-2486

STRATEGIC MANAGEMENT IN COMMERCE: NAVIGATING COMPETITIVE LANDSCAPES FOR SUSTAINABLE VALUE CREATION

ONYEDIKA GLADYS ALASO
Department of Vocational Education
Chukwuemka Odumegwu Ojukwu
University, Igbariam
onyedikachialaso@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

In an era defined by hyper competition, technological disruption, and escalating societal demands for corporate responsibility, strategic management in commerce faces a paradigm shift. This paper argues that long-term success is no longer achievable through traditional competitive advantage alone but requires the deliberate integration of sustainable value creation into the core of business strategy. By synthesizing established strategic frameworks like competitive landscape analysis and Porter's forces with emerging concepts such as Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) criteria and dual-value creation (Value to Business and Value to Society), this paper provides a model for navigating the modern commercial environment. It concludes that the future of strategic management lies in a company's ability to harmonize financial performance with positive societal impact, thereby building resilient and sustainable competitive advantages.

Keywords: Strategic Management, Competitive Landscape, Sustainable Value Creation, ESG, Corporate Strategy, Commerce, Competitive Advantage.

Introduction

The contemporary commercial environment is characterized by the forces of globalization, rapid technological change, and increasing stakeholder pressure for sustainable business practices. This volatile context demands that enterprises move beyond short-term financial metrics and adopt a strategic management approach that prioritizes long-term resilience and sustainable value creation. Traditional sources of competitive advantage are increasingly transient, necessitating a new mindset focused on strategic competitiveness.

This paper explores how strategic management principles can guide commercial enterprises in navigating complex competitive landscapes to achieve sustainable value creation. Sustainable value creation is defined as a perspective where business models are designed to generate value simultaneously for the company and for society at large. This involves a fundamental integration of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) considerations into decision-making processes, transforming them from peripheral concerns into core strategic imperatives. The central thesis is that in the 21st century, the most effective way to secure a durable competitive position is to align corporate strategy with the broader goal of sustainable development.

Competition today is not only about rival products but also about alternative solutions that customers might use to solve their problems. Companies must investigate competitors' content, marketing strategies, pricing, and social media activity to anticipate market shifts and avoid costly missteps, as exemplified by the disruptive impact of Netflix on Blockbuster. This ongoing analysis is crucial for establishing a unique value proposition and identifying new market opportunities

CONCEPTUAL CLARIFICATION

Strategic Management

The comprehensive process of formulating, implementing, and evaluating cross-functional decisions that enable an organization to achieve its long-term objectives (David & David, 2017). In the context of modern commerce, this involves the continuous analysis of internal capabilities and external environments to create sustainable competitive advantages.

Strategic management is the continuous process of formulating, implementing, and evaluating strategies that allow an organization to achieve its mission and goals. It is the "engine" that drives all other activities. The paper argues that the nature of strategic management is evolving. It is no longer sufficient to focus only on outperforming rivals. Modern strategic management must now explicitly incorporate the creation of Sustainable Value and address ESG criteria as central to long-term survival and profitability. The paper explores the traditional process strategic management must adapt.

Competitive Landscape

The dynamic business environment comprising all direct and indirect competitors, market forces, regulatory frameworks, and technological disruptions that influence a firm's strategic positioning and performance (Porter, 2008). This encompasses not only current rivals but also potential entrants, substitute products, and shifting customer preferences that collectively define the arena of competition.

Competitive landscape describes the external environment in which a business operates. It includes direct competitors, indirect competitors, customers, suppliers, regulatory bodies, and new technologies—essentially, all the forces that shape competition and profitability in an industry. The "competitive landscape" is the battlefield. The paper discusses how this landscape has become more complex and dynamic due to factors like digital transformation and globalization. More importantly, it highlights that the rules of the game on this landscape are changing. Success is increasingly judged not just by market share, but by a company's social license to operate and its environmental footprint. Navigating this landscape now requires understanding these new rules.

Sustainable Value Creation

A strategic approach that generates long-term shareholder value by embracing opportunities and managing risks derived from economic, environmental, and social developments (Eccles, Ioannou, & Serafeim, 2019). This transcends traditional profit maximization to include positive impacts on stakeholders, environmental stewardship, and social equity while maintaining economic viability.

This is the central goal or outcome proposed by the paper. It moves beyond creating value solely for shareholders (profit) to creating value for all stakeholders—including employees, customers, communities, and the environment—in a way that can be maintained long-term.

This concept is the paper's statement. It posits that Sustainable Value Creation is the new definition of success in commerce. The paper argues that this is not just a moral imperative but a strategic one. Companies that create sustainable value are better positioned to manage risks, attract talent, build brand loyalty, and secure their future in a world facing resource constraints and social challenges. It is the bridge between traditional business goals and broader societal needs.

ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance)
A structured framework for evaluating corporate behavior and predicting future financial performance based on three central criteria: Environmental (climate change, resource depletion, waste management), Social (labor relations, diversity, community relations), and Governance (board composition, executive compensation, shareholder rights) factors (Schoenmaker & Schramade, 2019). ESG integration represents a paradigm shift from shareholder primacy to stakeholder capitalism.
ESG provides the framework and metrics for achieving Sustainable Value Creation. It is a set of criteria used to evaluate a company's performance in three key areas:

- Environmental: How a company impacts the planet (e.g., carbon emissions, waste management).
- Social: How a company manages relationships with people (e.g., employee treatment, diversity, community relations).
- Governance: The internal system of practices and controls (e.g., board diversity, executive pay, shareholder rights).

The paper positions ESG not as a separate compliance issue but as a integral part of Strategic Management. It is the practical toolkit for analyzing risks and opportunities related to sustainability. The paper discusses how integrating ESG considerations into strategic analysis and decision-making is crucial for navigating the modern Competitive Landscape and achieving Sustainable Value Creation.

Corporate Strategy
The overarching plan that defines a firm's scope and direction, specifying how resources will be allocated to achieve competitive advantage across multiple business units or markets (Grant, 2021). This encompasses decisions regarding diversification, vertical integration, acquisitions, and strategic alliances that shape the fundamental architecture of the organization.

Commerce
The complex ecosystem of transactions involving the exchange of goods, services, or something of value between businesses or entities, encompassing both traditional trade mechanisms and digital platforms that facilitate economic activity in increasingly interconnected global markets.
This is the entire ecosystem of business activities—the buying, selling, and exchange of goods and services on a scale ranging from local to global. It includes all participants: producers, distributors, retailers, and consumers. The paper grounds the theoretical concepts (Strategic Management, ESG) in the practical, real-world domain of Commerce. It emphasizes that these are not just abstract ideas for corporate boardrooms but have tangible implications for supply chains, product development, marketing, and investment within the global commercial system. The focus is on how businesses in practice can adapt.

Competitive Advantage

The superior position a firm develops relative to rivals through either cost leadership (becoming the low-cost producer) or differentiation (creating uniquely valuable products/services), enabling it to deliver above-average returns in its industry over sustained periods (Barney, 1991). In contemporary commerce, this advantage increasingly derives from dynamic capabilities that allow rapid adaptation to market changes.

This is the ultimate objective of traditional Strategic Management: a unique position that allows a firm to outperform its rivals over the long term. This was historically achieved through lower costs or differentiated products. The paper redefines the source of Competitive Advantage for the 21st century. It argues that a durable advantage can no longer be built on cost or product features alone. Instead, a Sustainable Competitive Advantage is increasingly derived from a company's ability to excel in ESG factors—such as having a resilient, ethical supply chain (Social/Governance), a strong brand trusted for its environmental stance (Environmental), or superior talent retention due to positive culture (Social). In this view, Sustainable Value Creation becomes the primary source of Competitive Advantage.

The modern Competitive Landscape in Commerce demands a new approach to Strategic Management, this new approach must use ESG criteria as a critical lens for analysis and decision-making and by doing so, companies can achieve Sustainable Value Creation, Ultimately, Sustainable Value Creation is the new foundation for a durable Competitive Advantage.

ANALYZING THE COMPETITIVE LANDSCAPE

The first step in strategic management understands the terrain in which a business operates. The competitive landscape is a business analysis method that identifies direct and indirect competitors to comprehend their strengths, weaknesses, and strategic positioning. A robust analysis involves assessing both the external and internal environments.

- External Analysis: Tools like PESTEL analysis help comprehend macro-environmental factors (Political, Economic, Social, Technological, Environmental, Legal). At the industry level, Porter's Five Forces model remains critical for analyzing competitive intensity by examining the threat of new entrants, bargaining power of buyers and suppliers, threat of substitute products, and rivalry among existing competitors. This analysis reveals industry attractiveness and potential threats to profitability.
- Internal Analysis: The Resource-Based View (RBV) posits that a firm's unique, valuable, rare, inimitable, and non-substitutable (VRIN) resources and capabilities are the true source of sustainable competitive advantage. Complementing this, Value Chain Analysis helps identify where value is created for customers and where costs can be minimized, revealing opportunities for differentiation or cost leadership.

The Imperative of Sustainable Value Creation

Sustainability has evolved from a philanthropic afterthought to a strategic condition for maintaining competitiveness and relevance. The concept of sustainable value creation requires a dual perspective:

- Value to Business (V2B): How dependencies on natural, social, and human capital affect short- and long-term financial performance, including risks, opportunities, and future costs.
- Value to Society (V2S): How a company's business model and value chain affect societal well-being and the environment.

Sustainable value creation is only possible when both dimensions are considered together, as today's externalities are tomorrow's risks and portfolio loss. From a corporate finance perspective, sustainability investments impact enterprise value by influencing long-term free cash flows, both by mitigating risks (playing defense) and capturing new opportunities (playing offense) .

INTEGRATING SUSTAINABILITY INTO STRATEGIC MANAGEMENT

To navigate the competitive landscape for sustainable value creation, enterprises can adopt integrated frameworks like:

1. Embedding ESG into Strategic Decision-Making Strategic Enterprise Management(SEM) plays a critical role in incorporating sustainability aspects into decision-making . This can be operationalized using advanced decision-support tools. For instance, multicriteria decision-making (MCDM) frameworks like fuzzy TOPSIS can help managers evaluate and prioritize sustainability initiatives under conditions of uncertainty, balancing economic viability with environmental and social goals. This aligns strategic goals with operational performance metrics, often tracked through systems like the Balanced Scorecard.
2. The Role of Corporate Governance and Leadership the integration of sustainability is profoundly influenced by corporate governance actors—owners, directors, and executives. Their cognitive frameworks, values, and interactions determine how they perceive and respond to sustainability challenges. Factors like bounded rationality (limits in processing information) and bounded reliability (scarcity of effort to keep promises) can hinder sustainable value creation. Therefore, effective governance structures and leadership commitment are essential to overcome these barriers and steer the organization toward long-term value creation for all stakeholders.
3. Leveraging Technology and Innovation Technological change is a powerful driver in the competitive landscape, offering tools to enhance efficiency, productivity, and innovation. Artificial Intelligence (AI) is emerging as a transformative force in strategic management. Hybrid AI models can enhance the accuracy and efficiency of strategic decisions by analyzing complex market data, predicting trends, and optimizing resource allocation, thereby strengthening a company's market position and innovation capabilities . Technology diffusion, the information age, and knowledge intensity are key aspects that strategic managers must harness.

THE BENEFITS OF STRATEGIC MANAGEMENT IN COMMERCE

Strategic management transforms a commercial enterprise from a passive entity reacting to market forces into an active shaper of its own destiny. The benefits can be grouped into four primary categories: Enhanced Financial Performance, Improved Decision-Making & Resilience, Competitive Advantage & Market Positioning, and Organizational Alignment & Sustainability.

- Enhanced Financial Performance and Profitability; this is the most direct and often sought-after benefit as it

- Improved ROI and Profitability: By systematically aligning resources with clear long-term objectives, strategic management ensures that capital, time, and effort are invested in the most promising opportunities, leading to a higher return on investment (ROI) and sustained profitability.
- Proactive Growth: Instead of organic, ad-hoc growth, strategic management facilitates deliberate growth through strategies like market penetration, product development, or diversification. This allows companies to capture new revenue streams systematically.
- Cost Efficiency: Tools like Value Chain Analysis help identify and eliminate redundancies, optimize operations, and reduce costs, directly contributing to the bottom line.
- Improved Decision-Making and Organizational Resilience; Strategic management provides a framework that guides daily operations and helps navigate uncertainty.
    - Coherent and Aligned Decisions: It provides a clear roadmap. When faced with choices, managers at all levels can ask, "Does this align with our strategic goals?" This prevents conflicting initiatives and ensures everyone is pulling in the same direction.
    - Proactive vs. Reactive Stance: Through continuous environmental scanning (e.g., PESTEL, SWOT analysis), companies can anticipate market shifts, technological disruptions, and regulatory changes. This allows them to adapt in advance, rather than simply reacting to crises, turning potential threats into opportunities.
    - Risk Mitigation: By identifying potential threats (from competitors, new technologies, or supply chain vulnerabilities) early on, companies can develop contingency plans, making the organization more resilient to external shocks.

3. Sustainable Competitive Advantage and Stronger Market Positioning; this benefit is about creating a unique and defensible position in the marketplace.

- Creation of a Unique Value Proposition: Strategic management forces a company to answer fundamental questions: Why should customers choose us? By pursuing a clear generic strategy (e.g., Cost Leadership, Differentiation, or Focus), a firm can carve out a distinct position that is difficult for competitors to replicate.
- Leveraging Core Competencies: The Resource-Based View (RBV) emphasizes building strategy around unique internal strengths (VRIN resources). This leads to a competitive advantage that is sustainable because it is rooted in the organization's unique DNA, not just a temporary market condition.
- Enhanced Brand Reputation and Customer Loyalty: A clear, well-executed strategy that consistently delivers value builds a strong brand and fosters deep customer loyalty. For example, a differentiation strategy based on quality or sustainability creates a brand that customers trust and are willing to pay a premium for.

4. Organizational Alignment, Motivation, and Long-Term Sustainability; These "soft" benefits are crucial for effective execution and long-term viability.

- Clear Direction and Unity of Purpose: A well-communicated strategy ensures that every employee, from the C-suite to the front line, understands the company's goals and their role in achieving them. This creates a sense of shared purpose and reduces internal friction.
- Improved Employee Motivation and Retention: When employees see a clear vision and understand how their contributions matter, they are more engaged, motivated, and likely to stay with the company.
- Fosters Innovation and a Learning Culture: The strategic management process encourages questioning the status quo, exploring new ideas, and learning from both successes and failures. This creates a culture of innovation that is essential for long-term survival.
- Attraction of Investment and Talent: A clear and credible strategic plan makes a company more attractive to investors who seek stable, long-term returns. It also attracts top talent who want to work for an organization with a clear vision for the future.

In essence, the primary benefit of strategic management is the "Strategic Dividend"—the significant long-term value created by replacing ad-hoc, short-term thinking with a disciplined, systematic approach to shaping the future. The benefits—ranging from superior financial performance and a stronger competitive position to a more motivated workforce and greater resilience—are fundamental to achieving not just survival, but sustainable growth and market leadership.

CHALLENGES OF STRATEGIC MANAGEMENT IN COMMERCE

Despite its clear benefits, the effective implementation of strategic management is fraught with challenges. These obstacles often explain why well-formulated strategies fail and includes;

1. Implementation Gap: The Strategy-Execution Divide

· Challenge: The most common and critical failure point. Many organizations are skilled at planning but poor at execution. This "gap" occurs when a brilliant strategy on paper is never fully realized in practice due to inadequate resource allocation, unclear implementation plans, or lack of follow-through.

· Manifestation: Strategies remain as PowerPoint presentations, never translating into actionable goals for middle managers and frontline employees.

2. Resistance to Change and Cultural Inertia

· Challenge: Strategic change often disrupts established routines, power structures, and comfort zones. Employees and even managers may resist new directions due to fear of the unknown, perceived threats to their status, or a simple preference for the way things have always been done.

· Manifestation: Passive-aggressive non-compliance, active sabotage of new initiatives, or reverting to old processes under pressure.

3. Dynamic and Unpredictable Environments

· Challenge: The rapid pace of technological change, geopolitical shifts, and sudden market disruptions (e.g., a pandemic) can make a multi-year strategic plan obsolete soon after it's created. This volatility challenges the traditional, linear view of strategic planning.
· Manifestation: Companies sticking rigidly to a 5-year plan while competitors using agile methods adapt and seize new opportunities, leaving the plan irrelevant.

4. Short-Termism and Pressure for Immediate Results
· Challenge: Intense pressure from shareholders and financial markets for quarterly earnings can lead management to prioritize short-term cost-cutting or profit-boosting tactics over long-term strategic investments (e.g., R&D, employee development, brand building).
· Manifestation: Deferring crucial investments in technology or sustainability to meet the next quarter's earnings target, thereby eroding long-term competitiveness.

5. Lack of Strategic Alignment and Communication
· Challenge: If the strategy is not effectively communicated and cascaded throughout the organization, different departments will pursue conflicting goals. The left hand doesn't know what the right hand is doing.
· Manifestation: The marketing department launches a campaign for a new premium product while the sales team discounts old inventory, confusing customers and diluting the brand.

6. Inadequate Resources and Capabilities
· Challenge: A strategy may be conceptually sound but fail because the organization lacks the financial resources, technological infrastructure, or human talent (skills and capabilities) required to execute it.
· Manifestation: A retailer decides to pivot to e-commerce but lacks the IT expertise and logistics network to compete with established players.

7. Poor Integration of Sustainability (ESG)
· Challenge: Many companies still treat Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) goals as a separate, "nice-to-have" CSR initiative rather than integrating them into the core strategic fabric. This leads to "greenwashing" and missed opportunities for creating sustainable value.
· Manifestation: Publishing a sustainability report that is disconnected from the company's actual operational priorities and executive compensation metrics.

CONCLUSION

This paper demonstrates that navigating the modern competitive landscape in commerce necessitates a redefinition of strategic management. The pursuit of sustainable value creation is not merely an ethical choice but a strategic imperative for building long-term resilience and competitive advantage. By conducting a thorough and dynamic competitive landscape analysis and fully integrating the principles of ESG and dual-value creation (V2B and V2S) into corporate strategy, enterprises can position themselves for success.
The implications for managers and researchers are significant. For practitioners, the focus must shift to developing strategic flexibility, investing in robust sustainability analytics, and fostering a corporate culture and governance system that rewards long-term value creation. For researchers, future work should focus on refining metrics for measuring sustainable value, exploring the micro-foundations of sustainable decision-making within organizations, and investigating the role of emerging technologies like AI in facilitating this strategic transition.

The companies that succeed in the coming decades will be those that master the art of weaving sustainability into the very fabric of their strategic management DNA.

RECOMMENDATIONS

To overcome these challenges, commercial enterprises must adopt a more dynamic, inclusive, and agile approach to strategic management.

1. Bridge the Implementation Gap with Robust Execution Frameworks

· Action: Adopt formal execution frameworks like the Balanced Scorecard or Objectives and Key Results (OKRs). These tools translate strategic vision into measurable objectives for every level of the organization.

· Way Forward: Clearly link departmental and individual goals to strategic priorities. Establish regular review cycles (e.g., quarterly) to track progress and hold people accountable.

2. Foster an Agile and Adaptive Strategic Mindset

· Action: Move away from rigid, five-year static plans. Embrace strategic agility—the ability to continuously sense changes in the environment and respond quickly and effectively.

· Way Forward: Implement rolling forecasts (e.g., 12-18 months), use scenario planning to prepare for multiple futures, and empower teams to experiment and pivot when necessary.

3. Embed Change Management into the Strategy Process

· Action: Recognize that strategy execution is fundamentally about managing people and change. Proactively design a change management plan that includes clear communication, training, and involvement of key stakeholders from the start.

· Way Forward: Leaders must consistently communicate the "why" behind the strategy, not just the "what." Celebrate early wins to build momentum and demonstrate commitment.

4. Balance Short-Term and Long-Term Pressures

· Action: Actively manage investor communication to educate them on the long-term strategy. Reframe key performance indicators (KPIs) to include leading indicators of long-term health (e.g., customer satisfaction, innovation pipeline, employee engagement) alongside lagging financial indicators.

· Way Forward: Structure executive compensation to reward the achievement of long-term strategic milestones, not just short-term financial metrics.

5. Integrate Technology and Data Analytics

· Action: Leverage data-driven decision-making. Use advanced analytics, artificial intelligence (AI), and market intelligence tools to gain real-time insights into customer behavior, competitor actions, and operational efficiency.

· Way Forward: Invest in a robust data infrastructure. The strategic management function should be equipped with analytical capabilities to test assumptions and track the external environment continuously.

6. Fully Mainstream Sustainability (ESG) into Core Strategy

· Action: Move ESG from the periphery to the center of strategy. Conduct a materiality assessment to identify the ESG issues that most significantly impact business performance and stakeholder trust.

· Way Forward: Embed ESG targets into the core business operations and capital allocation decisions. Report on ESG performance with the same rigor as financial performance, demonstrating how it creates long-term value.

The way forward for strategic management in commerce is to transform it from an annual planning ritual into a continuous, organization-wide capability. The goal is to build a company that is not only strategically focused but also resilient, agile, and deeply aligned with the long-term needs of its stakeholders and the planet. By acknowledging the challenges and proactively implementing these recommendations, commercial enterprises can navigate the complexities of the modern landscape and achieve truly sustainable value creation.

REFERENCES

Wikipedia contributors. (2024). Competitive landscape. In Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Competitive_landscape

Author(s). (2025). Enterprise Strategic Management Upon Sustainable Value Creation: A Fuzzy TOPSIS Evaluation Tool for Transport and Supply Chain Enterprises. Sustainability, 17(11), 5011. https://doi.org/10.3390/su17115011 ProductPlan. (n.d.). Competitive Landscape. Retrieved from https://www.productplan.com/glossary/competitive-landscape/

Global Solutions Initiative. (2024). The Case For Sustainable Value Creation. Retrieved from https://www.global-solutions-initiative.org/article/the-case-for-sustainable-value-creation/ PapersOwl. (n.d.). Strategic Management - Free Essay Examples And Topic Ideas. Retrieved from https://papersowl.com/examples/strategic-management/

McKinsey & Company. (2023). Sustainability: Sources of value creation. Retrieved from https://www.mckinsey.com/capabilities/strategy-and-corporate-finance/our-insights/sustainability-sources-of-value-creation

SurveyMonkey. (n.d.). 7 Steps To Create A Competitive Landscape Analysis. Retrieved from https://www.surveymonkey.com/market-research/resources/steps-to-create-a-competitive-landscape-analysis/

Pugliese, A., et al. (2023). Sustainable value creation in multinational enterprises: The role of corporate governance actors. Journal of World Business. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S1090951623000780

Author(s). (2025). The analysis of strategic management decisions and corporate competitiveness based on artificial intelligence. Scientific Reports, 15, 17942. https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-025-02842-x

IvyPanda. (2018). Strategic management essay. Retrieved from https://ivypanda.com/essays/strategic-management-3/

Barney, J. (2019). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. Journal of Management, 17(1), 99-120.

David, F. R., & David, F. R. (2017). Strategic management: A competitive advantage approach. Pearson.

Eccles, R. G., Ioannou, I., & Serafeim, G. (2019). The impact of corporate sustainability on *organizational processes and performance. Management Science, 60(11), 2835-2857.*

Grant, R. M. (2021). Contemporary strategy analysis. John Wiley & Sons.

Porter, M. E. (2018). The five competitive forces that shape strategy. Harvard Business Review, 86(1), 78-93.

Schoenmaker, D., & Schramade, W. (2019). Principles of sustainable finance. Oxford University Press.